SHAW-SCRIPT - (2-56)11 - NUMBER EIGHT

It is now two years since SHAV-SCRIPT No.1 appeared, in response to the demand for Shavian reading practice other than that of Group correspondence. If such benefit as this intermittent practice gives is no longer needed, that is hardly surprising. The personal subscribers are now halved in number, and if this journal continues it will be due to the support of an increasing number of University Libraries. This necessarily changes the editorial outlook. It is likely that university readers have as much theoretical as practical interest in alphabetic and spelling problems — less interest in Shavian reading practice and more in the basic problems of communication—on—paper.

This is a many-sided subject on which ideas are all too vague. If we are to discuss here the conclusions drawn from Shavian experience, a wider readership will be interested by doing so in Orthodox rather than Shavian English.

The official Shaw Alphabet was, of course, evolved from earlier alphabetic work. Either experience or new circumstances may suggest improvements on it. Especially if its use were limited to handwriting at speed, some easing of penwork might modify characters more appropriate to printing than to manuscript. It is too soon to enlarge upon the Quickscript with which trials are being made. Enough to say that the time may have come to explore a little beyond the interpretation of Shaw's Will, which has determined our practice so far. What now matters is to profit from the two years' trial given to Shavian, by all manner of writers, calligraphers and scribblers widely scattered over four continents.

No-one supposes or suggests that a better alphabet should wholly, at one stroke, replace the Orthodox alphabet to which we are all accustomed. But if we are convinced that the old alphabet made for Latin (then the international language of writers) is too faulty and wasteful for English (now becoming an international language), we have no alternative but to insist that some limited use must be made of a better one in schools. Without some measure of application for it among a fresh generation, learning without any preconceptions, we can only make tentative and experimental progress.

Introduction of a modern alphabet into schools will doubtless be long delayed and hard fought. But the full and exhaustive enquiry which must precede and pursuade it cannot too soon be undertaken. It is to this overdue enquiry that we shall address ourselves here and in any further issues. The conclusions from the extended trial of Shavian should be much to the point.

Alphabetic reform is beset with false assumptions. The most persistent one is that the sole advantage of a phonetic alphabet is thrown away unless the spelling of every word is a full and exact representation of speech — not only at the stage of learning, but always, without exceptions, reservations, compromises or conveniences.

Confronted with the immediate question: Whose speech is to be represented, the natural instinct is to say: My own; if only because it is the only English I can represent with assurance and honesty. Or with more modesty and research the riter follows "the" dictionary pronunciation, or the usage of the Professor, the President, the BBC; but with most conviction, the usage of that sensible circle or locality with which he has to live.

For printing ANDROCLES AND THE LION in Shavian, the general speech-habit specified by Shaw was to "resemble that recorded of His Majesty our late King George V" — king's English when the play was written. But an appendix to ANDROCLES (pp 143-145) shows some of the problems left, whatever the model, for decision by non-phonetic or arbitrary rules. Like the rest of us, King George varied his pronunciation more or less subtly, according to context, emphasis, formality, colloquialism. For convenience and consistency of spelling, our rule may assume that every word is emphatically pronounced, but we are no longer spelling as we speak.

There are other problems. There is, of course, the immense complication of dialects, local or personal. Emphasize, as our rule requires; but the English word 'Been' is still the American pronunciation 'Bin'. It is no longer any guide if we seek to throw responsibility on a dictionary. Whether it be the Oxford or the Merriam-Webster, a fine modern dictionary will give several alternative pronunciations for a very great number of words; and in different States or Counties, even our adopted rules of spelling must produce varying results. The plain truth is that any consistent spelling has in the end to be spelling by agreement; and reading at speed requires, let us say, J5% consistency in spellings.

phavian experience to date is that, while most beginners protest that not all English is spoken and spelt to their own pattern, within six months or so they appreciate whatever measures of consistency are proposed, with but few words excepted. The nearer they come to simple word-recognition (as opposed to analyses of sounds represented), the less are they irritated by a pronunciation which once struck them as pedantic, class-concious, foreign or what-not. Among other Shavian practices most willingly adopted is that of reducing to single letters those commor words of the language: The, Of, And, To, For .which incidentally evades the choice between their variable pronunciations. This conventional representation of 5 words saves on the average no less than 6% % of the letters needed for a full phonetic representation; and it raises the question whether convenience and economy should not carry conventional reduction of spellings further to embrace other frequently used words which is often done unmistakably by omitting their vowel letter. In view of the established fact that some 70 different words occur so frequently as to amount to one-half of written English, conventional spellings of some of them would be a far-reaching economy - despite the lessening frequency (and economy) on words lower down their Table of Frequencies. This is no proper practice for children, or foreign learners, who must begin with phonetic spelling if they wish to relate spelling to pronunciation; but it becomes a very notable economy in writing before progress is far advanced.

Our opponents, like our beginners, ask: Why use a phonetic alphabet at all if experience and convenience leads back to arbitrary spellings? Why not make do with the 26-letter Orthodox alphabet with which we are all familiar — which our children must learn to use in any case? After all, many Orthodox spellings are phonetic enough, and you do not propose making every spelling phonetic when you have a phonetic alphabet. Why bother with it?

Let us put first the economic reply. We cannot in reason afford the time and material to go on for ever writing several letters for single sounds. We must learn to use single letters for such single (if ambiguous) sounds as those hitherto spelt with Th, Sh, Ch, Oy, Ai, Ie, You, Awe, Owe, Ough etc. The primary purpose we have in view is to write with a minimum number of letters and penwork — with no more than a single letter for any single sound. Economically, it is of no consequence which sound is represented; but without a full complement of letters (a phonetic alphabet) sounds are uneconomically — as well as ambiguously — spelt.

It is true that the new alphabet should, for further economy, be less complex in its letter-symbols so that the writer has fewer penstrokes to make <u>per letter</u>, as well as spelling with fewer letters. The added number of letters must be easily penned ones, and multi-stroke letters like w and m need replacements. Economy does not stem solely from an alphabet's phonetic adequacy: it needs graphic simplicity as well. But let us regard economy as a main objective.

The advantage of relating spelling to spoken sounds is sufficiently obvious. The natively English-speaking child has confidence in spelling. The foreigner can deduce pronunciations from the spelling. We are all assured when first confronted with new names of things, persons or places. But apart from these and other purely phonetic advantages, the writer's saving of time, tedium and cash costs is surely argument enough.

Suppose that the writer of a Quickscript evolved from Shavian were to save half the time, tedium and paper of Orthodox perwork; for that is the expectation from current trials. How then is the reader affected? important question. Shavian reading, so far intermittent, supplies no valid answer. We do not know. The whole field of enquiry into a reader's ideal alphabet requires exhaustive study; for all serious work to date seems to be based on the Orthodox alphabet. It certainly seems that characters can be over-simplified to a point where reading becomes hesitant or worse. For the sake of very fast writing, Shorthand symbols are over-simplified from a reader's point of view. They are too dependent on fine distinctions of angle, length, weight of stroke, even if employed for a full phonetic spelling. Instant recognition of words is often difficult; they are too easily malformed beyond recognition. German schools made abortive trial of a shorthand-like script called Sprechspur. There are researchers who think a considerable "redundency" of penstrokes in an alphabet essential for fast reading. There are, on the other hand, simpler alphabetic characters than those of Orthodox English, such as Arabic and Hebrew, which are read with no less ease and speed. This whole field of enquiry appears to be less cultivated than scuffled over with dogmatic preconceptions and assumptions. Whether a script based on Shavian

experience is in fact the happy mean for reading, between Shorthand and Orthodox characters, between artificial and phonetic spelling — this is more than we pretend to know. It seems to promise well, from use by adult writer-readers.

Two conclusions seem at present unquestionable. The learner, child or adult, should use an alphabet capable of sound-matching. He should at first spell as phonetically as his teacher or his untrained ear and speech suggest. He can study economies later, before the stage of reading by simple word-recognition. Secondly, when able to read fast without analysis of spelling, the reader has no quarrel with any conventional spellings which are universally used. It no longer matters to him for recognition whether they are phonetic, and admittedly he cares little whether the standard spelling of a word is with four letters or with two. It would seem not unlikely that with twice the number of words brought within his accustomed eye-span, he may grasp their meaning a little faster; and if it should prove to be but a trifle of 5 % faster, that trifle affects many readers of one writing.

For the accomplished reader-by-recognition, spelling as a phonetic aid is of rare interest, where he meets unaccustomed words, new names of places, persons, inventions, branded goods. Otherwise he is to all intents reading each word as an ideograph, grasping its meaning first, its sound afterwards if necessary. As ideographs, "& Co Ltd" mean as much as "and Company Limited", NATO is handier, even to the reader, than North Atlantic Treaty Organization, we write and read the conventions "in.,ft,,oz.; k" for kilowatt, H.M for Her Majesty, B.C.for Before Christ — though rather ridiculously we have yet to condense the language's words of most frequent occurrence — a factor determining where most economy lies.

It is sufficient — and nothing less is sufficient — to know that accomplished readers are not delayed by any script proposed for school use and for life use. But when we speak of handwriting let us honestly face the fact that it will not amount to calligraphy: we shall still have to read a lot of scribble, for such is writing in general, and fast writing in particular. Here again are difficulties in making true comparisons. Investigators will have their headaches!

Now comes the crucial question. What Authority, what University, what Trust has the courage and far-sightedness to INVESTIGATE? English is increasingly an international language. Who will patiently explore; who will pay for the exploration; who will make a written English fit, simple and economical, for our next and coming generations? Who?

Given any indication of interest and support, other important aspects of Shavian experience will be discussed in following issues, and a Quickscript Alphabet will be published when trials are completed. Meanwhile the help of individual testers and would-be users is more than welcome. Please address the editor: KINGSLEY READ, ABBOTS MORTON, WORCESTER, U.K.

THE SHAW ALPHABET is given on page 16. So that it may be seen handwritten, a fine example from New Zealand is here reproduced. The guide-lines are neither more nor less desirable than in Orthodox handwriting.

in so a cul.

Light pala in the 'so's will and in elegated by a cero for size the last the la

EXTRAORDINARY!

JACK CANTY

/\\ \(\cdot\) \(\cdot\) \

10, /41 (11/11: 7 /751) | /4 (1/2) | (1/2) | 1/2) | 1/3 (1/2) | 1/3) | 1/4 (1/2) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) | 1/4) |

1/10 015 •\co[s\chi | 1001ch | 17\l | 1/10]\cdc\chi, \ 77 77 cil \\ cs27, | 1/11\\2\cdr\chi, | 12\cdr\chi | 1\q 0 11\\chi | 1\

CTZICI 7 1431, 17 841. 7 34511 \ JCC1 (1711CI C/M) SCNSTCA
IN 17 517164, \ JKN; 175CCJ C/I(M) JON 84, 1 JV1, 115771 Q CN[CCC] 18 X1 /2102. \$1JN118 N Q [50-1/1, CVC] 8 J1 /4 INC/70018CI,
[NZ (8\Az *J)]

לילנון וו אוט ז אללרן אַנכוכרלאניל, ז כד פּהט וו פּ נָהּט, רז אַבטו און כזל ר וֹנרט אַנורט ז אז פֿצול אַדנננו וו נורטונ ז אַבטורט ווס פּ לוז/עדנרג. וו ז לזרן פּהט — ז אַז נכל לען ז גַאיּ — אָן פּ כזרט סָס רוְד אַל אַז לַזַּגי? אָבט אָז אָז לַזָּגי בעלון אַנוּל אַזּי לַזָּגי אַז אָז לַזָּגי? אָבט אָז אָז לַזָּגי אַז בּיַּגי אַז אַזּגיליז לזי כעלון אַנוּי?

9(1, \$6) 37218c1, 6 304 (71516116 821: 1) 77 77 1 0 (32 /43 1 674 14651641461, 0 674 1451 14 944!

\(\ \tau \) 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \fra

0 C7/1 /12 14 11 872 821(/2. IN / /0//11 84 JC1)1 141 /10 /
071, 41 J \$71.

ד נוטאר 1 און 100% כונול. לכזר לכזר לנכזר לוכסוכו סנרט לענ לכזר לוכסוכו סנרט לענו לכזר לוכסוכו סנרט לענו לידה ד אלורנ. אול און נאנו הידה ד אלורנ. אול און נאנורטול וארכרוזכו, רד 140 לונטול וזרכרוזכו, רד 140 לונטול וזכלרוזכו. פ כזרג רוצטן 1 נו נסצול לולטוכרו.

7 dent St &c and 11 tod 14, eafty though to property of corn, 1 defens of the state of the state

IN YOU JOYN O TASIR MOUDON JOYN MA

יום פוז לאולול אויצאל, פר זעל פ כארג רוד

063 / 10 (4 30 67%) [\$\cillatcan\ 1 /530 \ 9 \cinlatcan\ 1 \cinlatcan\

12 1967 0 */ \color /color /co

1967 / 16 \$3317361 (4 @ \ro 1 | 1211 & XVC)(,) @60 / 16 (r)25172 (72761) | 131722 (27) 1/20 (1)20, 8206 (0)\$ (551 67312).

SEQUOYAH'S TALKING LEAVES SPEAK AGAIN

HENRY L. KIRCHNER

ودى از ۱۶۵۱ کر۱۱۵/۷۵۲۵ تا/۸۶/۷۲ دردا و ۱۵۲۸ کراری-دردالا , ۱۹۵۱ کراری-دردالا , ۱۹۵۱ کراری-دردالا , ۱۹۵۱ کراری-دردالا کراید کراری کراید کر

**SIC/>('? `) 12 \ (\) 1 \ (\)

Q SICKLOSI COITTAL & WARK SUNSTAN. 11 /72 Q COCKIR PODI C Q x0S1 SICICTEL 1076 C *INLENE IN *KNOICE. 11 CE 7X CTC /TCLITO KYR Q *CLOKCHE: NG QC CVL CHI OLCEOLE N CCSCCNO POHILLE. Q #510X N-YLSICON LOUNCE C Q *CLOKCHE /40 OH/NYTAL LT /4X2 [/LSIGK? OH/N IN *SIC/YC'E SICKLOSI.

אנונרל זכאל ואס 1סרוסנן נד כיונרסן ני פיזרן לאטונונוג ר כוורירויערס, כדנסרסונ, ז רג רלאנרוו. זאו זכ ני פיזן נסינרן ואס סדכדנו, נדו
ר זיינרא איז זיינרנו, פי יניסרלי ליזולל. פול איז ילו ליזונר
ר זיינרא איז זיינרא ניינס לאטונר פינסרלי ליזולל. פול איז ילוסור איז וונריים איז פינו ליזו ליזול פינו איז ליזול וווע ילנילי, ז
רונרא וייני לייצור ביינילילי ביינראלי ליינו זיינר ליינו זיינראלי, זיינרי וווע ליינול זיינראלי ליינוליים ביינול ליינול זיינראלי פינוליים.

JONE 1828 MIC 1834 Q + ELOPHI JANIES, JIQ P (DIC\MI + ELOPHI)

LITO, +1CTES (ALINAT [BOUDINOT], STOC J 115 NEEM. 11 STOOL RESTE

1 JASTO Q (LST QEO JAZ IN 115 +1NLM DILOZEN 1 JT Q LOTREMANS N

DTJENIZER X/IE /40 Q /40S1 NJEME DONICEPZ.

(15715 11515)1(\0118 0 151\075, 0 .455\7) 15 17 1516(11 \075) 15 -455\7) 17 .465\075 17 .4

HOW EASY !

GEORGE STAPLETON

[\text{\te\

0 /τι σιζη /7 /4 ριί \$17 ις ιλί θί /4 έπι Ισις σιρις, [71 φί /4 βοιί \$4/ 1 λο βι 1 λλς φιν: ειδο, [ισι τιπ, [ιέλς /4 /ιέδ τὶ 1 λ /ιί σιν σιρις ερος (τοι τιπ, [ιέλς /4 /ιέδ τὶ 1 λ /ιί σιν σιρις ερος του τιπς ερος (τοι τιπου τιπο

/\c, QJ1 3751 r(<1 \$77 11 - \7\ 1\ \\$\\. \\ \\$\ \$\ \11 /\\\\??

77 \\$r(\forall \text{8\c} 1 \text{37\ \cent{11\ \cen

'\$77818 767776161, \$77818 \$71'— \$77 74 59! 75065 CYF7601.

CIC \$1277. 127845 (2)5601 1 622772 1051-1765 1

10! 0 LJK 11, %1 CFX1! 965'Z 9 KTC-[JX C/T, SKILL 9 C25X/S

'50 \v d7/12 /10 /1 14/000
1 870 0 12\ (4015\12'' —
7 51501/1 1 012d \d5dx5/2.
'/7(1 7'C d7/ /C\12',
7 5\1, /{5112 840 72, \ 021
7'1 870 0\/ Joh/ /7 (\12
20 C71/0 1\ 0 /20\12.
'10\1 /71 J /1', 7 5\1.

ז איס ראנז און נאנלאראו של של לאורלכו ועל נד וכדל: JOCC 40 CILLUL CAR 171711 CA JACL 1 2001 171 842 148. RIVER D I CY 1309 1SEEL D VI 11 10101 162765 D SC (4 81) 17(1 1 1/4 1 1/5) C 1/8 1) 1 8r2 0 [42]?' \$/41 [11. (1 8), 156~ 843 /5 1 0 /VICMI. C+ 871 /2C1 17 0 /171 / 0 1/4 11 0 JC76 (0 14 01 /JS \$14318, SC 9185 CILL W/V 0 14! 0 DUCTICE CTZ!! או או לוכן לאן לאן לא לא און גאז 1015 נולצול ועףערים, יוקעם נוכבל כאם כר וד 75 0 VATCUTES SCIPULI / CILLUI לא און איסן פ לעלאל לפכ: Ch XJL SAN DAS JC7 1 OFD JALIR.

017] 341, 137] /267 \ \$17(7)\ 123 0\CI Q W\CIR /237 1\ Q /V\ \$77[7]?

(/t)>>]+cf5 1/11cf (7 /(\ 7\(2)\,
\)(>1(1 6) }
61 \$2\1 0 \$4\$

1 7 871 11S1 11 2C.

MAYIS MOTTRAM

11 /\? \ \c7[ci \tell /7 \land \tell \tell

NOT WITH IT'

RONALD KAYE

"לאוו א זאו ר פ יאסכן?" ד ואלידיםן, ורסעטוג זא לדסוכו.

1 11'S 6/71 A 01A 6/656A, 1011 \A 0186?

THE SHAW ALPHABET

As NO CAPITALS are used in Shavian, its typewriters require only the 43 Shavian letters in place of the familiar double alphabet of 52 letters. A Namer-dot precedes proper names — if such special indication seems to be necessary.

Our customary old alphabet has Short letters (such as a e o u); Taller ones (f k b d); and Deeper ones (g j p y). The Shaw alphabet has a similar Short, Tall, or Deep positioning of letters,

The Sound of each letter is shown in large T-ype. A familiar word serves as the name of each letter.

| TALL letters | DEEP letters | SHORT letter | s | |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------|---|---------|
| P-ea | B-ay | (L-ee |) | R-ay |
| 1 T-ea | D-ay | ∫ M-e Vowel: | | N-ay |
| d K-ey |) G-ay | I-t | | E-ase |
| J F-ee | (V-ie | C E-gg | ۲ | A-ce |
|) TH-aw | | J A-t | ブ | I-ce |
| | () TH-ey | / A-go 2 | 7 | U-s |
| S-ee | 7 2-00 |) (h)0-t | ٥ | 0-we |
| ∠ sH-e | 7 ZH-ay 1 | V (f)00-t | ٨ | 00-ze.4 |
| (CH-eer | 7 T-04 | ⟨ 0U−t ⟩ | ን | (P)OX |
| • | 2 J-ay | 5 A-re 3 | 5 | AW-e 3 |
| Y-e 4 | M- W LIBRA | RY OF AUSTRAL 3 | ų | U-rge 3 |
| l 1-NG | 8 A-1 4 DI | C 1965 | r | EA-r 3 |

1. 7 (ZH-ay) has the sound of S in vision, J in French Je,G in rouge.

- 3. Similar Compounds of vowel with consonant serve to write the words ARE \mathcal{D} , OR \mathcal{D} , AIR \mathcal{D} , EARTH $\mathcal{C}(0)$, EAR \mathcal{D} ; or fAR fOUR fARE fIR fEAR.
- 4. A Compound of Y-e and OO-ze, \ , serves in YOU, Use, fEW.

For indexing and memorizing, the alphabetic order is as listed there in pairs: P-ea B-ay, T-ea D-ay etc, down to i-NC H-ay, followed by L-ee R-ay, and ending with AI-r U-rge and the last unpaired letter EA-r.

^{2. ((}A-go) is a very frequent sound in stressless syllables, e.g., the A in Above, E in waken, O in atom, U in circus. This sound never occurs in a stressed syllable. It is often followed by) (R-ay); and these two letters are joined as Compound () in writing and printing. This stressless Compound serves for: other governor acre martyr etc. NOTE: All R's are assumed in spelling to be pronounced, as consonants. Compounds of vowel with consonant are too wide for use on typewriter.